SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JANUARY 6, 2009 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S168127 A118636 First Appellate District, Div. 4 SM

SMITH (EDWARD LEE) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 5, 2009.

S029843

PEOPLE v. BECK (JAMES DAVID) & CRUZ (GERALD DEAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant James David Beck and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to February 24, 2009.

S076337

PEOPLE v. CHARLES III (EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Peter Quon, Jr.'s representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by April 27, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 27, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S089619

PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (FRANCISCO JAY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 13, 2009.

S091915

PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (DANIEL) & SATELE (WILLIAM)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant William Tupua Satele and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to February 26, 2009.

S099439

PEOPLE v. KREBS (REX ALLAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 2, 2009.

S101247

PEOPLE v. VARGAS (EDUARDO DAVID)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Russell S. Babcock's previous representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 1, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 17, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 250 additional days are contemplated.

S109197

PEOPLE v. VAN PELT (JAMES GLENN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 9, 2009.

S168611 D051120 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

NEW (DALE W.) v. KROEGER (DONALD)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to petition for review is extended to January 12, 2009.

S168668 E047083 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 CASTRO (ABRAHAM) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of counsel for petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is hereby extended to January 12, 2009. The reply must be served and filed in the San Francisco office of the Supreme Court by 12:00 p.m. The reply may be filed by facsimile with original and copies to follow by overnight mail.

S129501

PEOPLE v. MENDEZ (JULIAN ALEJANDRO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Randall Bookout is hereby appointed to represent appellant Julian Alejandro Mendez for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S140911 H027693 Sixth Appellate District

VARGAS (ANGELINA MORFIN) v. CITY OF SALINAS

Request for judicial notice granted

The request for judicial notice, filed by amici curiae League of California Cities et al. on November 29, 2006, is granted.

S140911 H027693 Sixth Appellate District

VARGAS (ANGELINA MORFIN) v. CITY OF SALINAS

Order filed

Pursuant to Evidence Code sections 459, subdivision (c), and 455, subdivision (a), the court notifies the parties that it is considering taking judicial notice of the Sample Ballot and Voter Information Pamphlet of the County of Monterey for the November 5, 2002, Consolidated General Election. A copy of the Sample Ballot and Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed to the parties with this order. Any party that objects to the court's taking judicial notice of this document must file an objection in the San Francisco office of the Supreme Court on or before January 16, 2009.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 850)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JANUARY 6, 2009 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Tuesday, January 6, 2009, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S155742 Daniel Sheehan et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,

v.

The San Francisco 49ers Ltd., Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Ann Brick argued for Appellants.

Sonya D. Winner argued for Respondent.

Ms. Brick replied. Cause submitted.

S156986 Gil N. Mileikowsky, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

West Hills Hospital Medical Center et al., Defendants and Respondents.

Cause called. H. Thomas Watson argued for Respondents.

Lowell C. Brown argued for Amici Curiae California Hospital

Association et al.

Charles M. Kagay argued for Appellant.

Mr. Watson replied.

S023421 The People, Respondent,

V.

Jerry Thomas Bunyard, Appellant.

Cause called. George L. Schraer, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for

Appellant.

Robert Nash, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Schraer replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed until Wednesday, January 7, 2009, at 9:00 a.m.

ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SACRAMENTO SESSION FEBRUARY 3, 2009

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for oral argument at its courtroom in the Stanley Mosk Library and Courts Building, 914 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, California, on Tuesday, February 3, 2009.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2009—9:00 A.M.

S140911	Vargas v. City of Salinas
S159133	People v. Bonnetta (Thomas) et al.
S143929	Silverbrand v. County of Los Angeles et al.

2:00 P.M.

S145087 S160736 S064769	Sentry Select Ins. Co. v. Fidelity & Guaranty Ins. Co. People v. Lawrence (Ringo) People v. Hawthorne (Carlos) [Automatic Appeal]
	Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)